

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,674	07/16/2003	Harish N. Patel	PD-200225	9645
20991 THE DIRECT	7590 06/03/200 V GROUP, INC.	EXAMINER		
PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION CA / LA I / A 109 2230 E . IMPERIA L HIGHWAY			MARANDI, JAMES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EL SEGUNDO), CA 90245	2623		
				-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/620,674	PATEL, HARISH N.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAMES R. MARANDI	2623	

JA	MES R. MARANDI	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods: 	ies: (1) an amendment, affidavit with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) in the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) in the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). O MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f).	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on what we ben filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensional under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later thar may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ion and the corresponding amount o ened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ite extension fee action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within 	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but ş (a) They raise new issues that would require further considition to the property of the pr			cause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better f	orm for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	e issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corre	esponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 a						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowanon-allowable claim(s). 	able if submitted in a separate, ti	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an ex	planation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but bet because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sul was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Ne entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overc showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and 	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do	es NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTC 13. Other:	O/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623						

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

Applicant's arguments and amended claims, filed on 05/06/2008, have been fully considered and will not be entered for the following reasons:

Amendment is not in compliance with CFR § 1.121(c). New language has been added to claim 1 and whole sentences/ words have been added/ deleted without following proper format as prescribed.

Claim 1, as amended, raises new issues. The "remote control" capabilities appear to have been expanded (first signal, and second signal unique from first) far beyond the original disclosure and the claims previously presented. This require further consideration and/or search.

Furthermore, the applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Office Action, dated 3/6/2008, rejects claim 7 as being unpatentable over Sparrell in view of Griesau. The office action quotes Col. 2, lines 11-15 of Griesau in the rejection.

The applicant has erroneously reproduced a section form Griesau's Col. 1, lines 11-15, and as such argues that Griesau "merely discloses the remote controls are popular ...". Referring to Col. 2 lines 11-15, Griesau teaches the existence of remote controls with macro buttons programmable to perform various user defined functions. Griesau further discloses in Col. 3, lines 1-24, remote controls with plurality of buttons to perform plurality of specific operations, which includes a transmitter for transmitting an electronic signal to at least one of the plurality of devices.